Placement of Arabidopsis parvula in Thellungiella (Brassicaceae)

Ihsan A. Al-Shehbaz and Steve L. O'Kane, Jr. Missouri Botanical Garden, P.O. Box 299, St. Louis, Missouri 63166-0299, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT. The genus Thellungiella is recognized, and the characters separating its two species from Arabidopsis are given. Arabidopsis parvula (Schrenk) O. E. Schulz is recognized in Thellungiella.

of considerable controversy, and as many as 50 species were previously placed in the genus (Al-Shehbaz, 1988; Price et al., 1994). Detailed morphological and molecular studies by the authors reveal that Arabidopsis includes less than ten species, most of which were previously assigned to other genera, and that the remaining species are more appropriately placed in five or six genera. The results of these on-going studies will be published elsewhere.

The present paper deals with two species here recognized as members of Thellungiella O. E. Schulz. Thellungiella parvula has generally been accepted in Arabidopsis in all recent floras (e.g., Ball, 1964; Busch, 1939; Hedge, 1965, 1968; Pavlov, 1961) that cover the areas of its range. The generic placement of T. salsuginea (Pallas) O. E. Schulz has been more controversial; although several authors followed Schulz (1924) in treating it in Thellungiella, Al-Shehbaz (1988) and Rollins (1993) retained the species in Arabidopsis. Schulz (1924, 1936) placed Arabidopsis and Thellungiella in different subtribes separated solely on the basis of presence versus absence of seed-coat mucilage. As shown by Vaughan and Whitehouse (1971), the presence or absence of mucilage in wetted seeds can be observed in various species of the same genus and, therefore, is not a reliable taxonomic character. Although the seeds of T. parvula produce mucilage upon wetting and those of T. salsuginea do not, it is abundantly clear that this and other difterences given in the key below do not justify the placement of the two species in different genera.

In addition to Thellungiella salsuginea, Schulz (1924), Busch (1939), and Pavlov (1961) recognized another species, T. halophila (C. A. Meyer) O. E. Schulz, which they separated from T. salsuginea primarily by having coarsely dentate to divided instead of entire to repand basal leaves. It is highly unlikely that these differences alone justify

the recognition of T. halophila as distinct from T. salsuginea. Because the types of these two species were not available for this study, we refrain from formally reducing T. halophila to the synonymy of T. salsuginea.

As here delimited, Thellungiella consists of two The limits of Arabidopsis have been the subject (or perhaps three) species that are centered primarily in Kazakhstan and neighboring Russia. Thellungiella parvula is distributed in Kazakhstan, southern Russia, Turkmenistan, and Turkey, whereas T. salsuginea is widespread in Kazakhstan, southern Russia, Mongolia, northern China, Canada, and the United States. Both species are restricted to strongly saline soils, and such edaphic adaptation to salinity, which is rare elsewhere in the Brassicaceae, is not exhibited by any species of Arabidopsis. In addition, both species are glabrous throughout, are glaucous on stems and leaves, and do not produce well-defined basal rosettes. All species of Arabidopsis produce basal rosettes that often persist well after fruit maturity, and none has glaucous stems and leaves. The characteristic indumentum of mixed simple and stalked furcate trichomes should readily distinguish species of Arabidopsis from members of Thellungiella. The two species of Thellungiella are easily separated as follows:

- 1a. Cauline leaves oblong to ovate or cordate, not fleshy, auriculate to rarely amplexicaul at base; infructescence axis straight; petals much longer than sepals; seeds not mucilaginous when wetted
- T. salsuginea 1b. Cauline leaves linear to linear-oblong, fleshy, not auriculate at base; infructescence axis flexuous; petals subequaling sepals or absent; seeds mucilaginous when wetted T. parvula

Thellungiella parvula (Schrenk) Al-Shehbaz & O'Kane, comb. nov. Basionym: Diplotaxis? parvula Schrenk in Fischer & C. A. Meyer, Bull. Cl. Phys.-Math. Acad. Imp. Sci. Saint Pétersbourg ser. 2, 2: 199. 1844. TYPE: [Kazakhstan]. "In desertis salsuginosus ad Tersakkan," A. Schrenk s.n. (holotype, LE; isotype?, G).

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation grant DEB- 9208433 and the National Geographic Society grant 5068–93, both of which were awarded to Al-Shehbaz. We thank Barbara Schaal (Washington University) for her support in conducting the molecular studies leading to these results.

Literature Cited

- Al-Shehbaz, I. A. 1988. The genera of Sisymbrieae (Cruciferae; Brassicaceae) in the southeastern United States. J. Arnold Arbor. 69: 213–237.
- Ball, P. W. 1964. Arabidopsis. In: T. G. Tutin et al. (editors), Fl. Europaea 1: 267-268.
- Busch, N. A. 1939. Arabidopsis. In: V. L. Komarov (editor), Fl. URSS 8: 76-80.
- Hedge, I. C. 1965. Arabidopsis. In: P. H. Davis (editor), Fl. Turkey 1: 489-490.

- . 1968. Sisymbrieae. In: K. H. Rechinger (editor), Fl. Iranica 57: 309–342.
- Pavlov, N. V. 1961. Flora Kazakhstana 4: 1-545.
- Price, R. A., J. D. Palmer & I. A. Al-Shehbaz. 1994. Systematic relationships of Arabidopsis: A molecular and morphological perspective. In: E. M. Meyerowitz & C. R. Sommerville (editors), Arabidopsis. Cold Springs Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Rollins, R. C. 1993. The Cruciferae of Continental North America. Stanford Univ. Press, California.
- Schulz, O. E. 1924. Cruciferae-Sisymbrieae. In: A. Engler (editor), Pflanzenreich IV. 105(Heft 86): 1-388.
- ———. 1936. Cruciferae. In: A. Engler & K. Prantl (editors), Natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien ed. 2. 17B: 227—658.
- Vaughan, J. G. & J. M. Whitehouse. 1971. Seed structure and the taxonomy of the Cruciferae. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 64: 383-409.